The largest component in an inhomogeneous random intersection graph with clustering

M. Bloznelis

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania
E-mail *mindaugas.bloznelis@mif.vu.lt*

arXiv:1002.4649v1 [math.PR] 24 Feb 2010

February 20, 2010

Abstract

Given integers n, $m = \lfloor \beta n \rfloor$ and a probability measure Q on $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, consider the random intersection graph on the vertex set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where $i, j \in [n]$ are declared adjacent whenever $S(i) \cap S(j) \neq \emptyset$. Here $S(1), \dots, S(n)$ denote iid random subsets of $[m]$ with the distribution $\mathbf{P}(S(i) = A) = \binom{m}{|A|}^{-1} Q(|A|)$, $A \subset [m]$. For sparse random intersection graphs we establish a first order asymptotic as $n \to \infty$ for the order of the largest connected component $N_1 = n(1 - Q(0))\rho + o_P(n)$. Here ρ is an average of nonextinction probabilities of a related multi-type Poisson branching process.

1 Introduction

Let Q be a probability measure on $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, and let $S_1, \dots, S_n$ be random subsets of a set $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ drawn independently from the probability distribution $\mathbf{P}(S_i = A) = \binom{m}{|A|}^{-1} Q(|A|)$, $A \subset W$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. A random intersection graph $G(n, m, Q)$ with a vertex set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is defined as follows. Every vertex v_i is prescribed the set $S(v_i) = S_i$ and two vertices v_i and v_j are declared adjacent (denoted $v_i \sim v_j$) whenever $S(v_i) \cap S(v_j) \neq \emptyset$. The elements of W are sometimes called attributes, and $S(v_i)$ is called the set of attributes of v_i.
Random intersection graphs $G(n, m, Q)$ with the binomial distribution $Q \sim Bi(m, p)$ were introduced in Singer-Cohen [15] and Karoński et al. [13], see also [10] and [16]. The emergence of a giant connected component in a sparse binomial random intersection graph was studied by Behrish [2], for $m = \lfloor n^\alpha \rfloor$, $\alpha \neq 1$, and by Lagerås and Lindholm [14], for $m = \lfloor \beta n \rfloor$, where $\beta > 0$ is a constant. They have shown, in particular, that, for $\alpha \geq 1$, the largest connected component collects a fraction of all vertices whenever the average vertex degree, say d, is larger than $1 + \varepsilon$. For $d < 1 - \varepsilon$ the order of the largest connected component is $O(\log n)$.
The graph $G(n, m, Q)$ defined by an arbitrary probability measure Q (we call such graphs inhomogeneous) was first considered in Godehardt and Jaworski [11], see also [12]. Deijfen and Kets [8], and Bloznelis [3] showed (in increasing generality) that the typical vertex degree of $G(n, m, Q)$ has the power law for a heavy tailed distribution Q. Another result by Deijfen and Kets [8] says that, for $m \approx \beta n$, graphs $G(n, m, Q)$ posses the clustering property.
The emergence of a giant connected component in a sparse inhomogeneous intersection graph with $n = o(m)$ (graph without clustering) was studied in [4]. The present paper addresses inhomogeneous intersection graphs with clustering, i.e., the case where $m \approx \beta n$.

2 Results

Given $\beta > 0$, let $\{G(n, m_n, Q_n)\}$ be a sequence of random intersection graphs such that

$$\lim_n m_n n^{-1} = \beta. \tag{1}$$

We shall assume that the sequence of probability distributions $\{Q_n\}$ converges to some probability distribution Q defined on $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$,

$$\lim_n Q_n(t) = Q(t), \qquad \forall\, t = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{2}$$

and, in addition, the sequence of the first moments converges,

$$\lim_n \sum_{t\geq 1} tQ_n(t) = \sum_{t\geq 1} tQ(t) < \infty. \tag{3}$$

2.1. Degree distribution. Let $V_n = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ denote the vertex set of $G_n = G(n, m_n, Q_n)$ and let $d_n(v_i)$ denote the degree of vertex v_i. Note that, by symmetry, the random variables $d_n(v_1), \dots, d_n(v_n)$ have the same probability distribution, denoted D_n. In the following proposition we recall a known fact about the asymptotic distribution of D_n.

Proposition 1. *Assume that (1), (2) and (3) hold. Then we have as* $n \to \infty$

$$\mathbf{P}(D_n = k) \to \sum_{t\geq 0} \frac{(at)^k}{k!} e^{-at} Q(t), \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots. \tag{4}$$

Here $a = \beta^{-1} \sum_{t\geq 0} tQ(t)$.

Roughly speaking, the limiting distribution of D_n is the Poisson distribution $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ with random parameter $\lambda = aX$, where X is a random variable with the distribution Q. In particular, for a heavy tailed distribution Q we obtain the heavy tailed asymptotic distribution for D_n. For $Q \sim Bi(m, p)$, (4) is shown in [16]. For arbitrary Q, (4) is shown (in increasing generality) in [8] and [5].

2.2. The largest component. Let $N_1(G)$ denote the order of the largest connected component of a graph G (i.e., $N_1(G)$ is the number of vertices of a connected component which has the largest number of vertices). We are interested in a first order asymptotic of $N_1(G(n, m_n, Q_n))$ as $n \to \infty$.

The most commonly used approach to the parameter $N_1(G)$ of a random graph G is based on tree counting, see [9], [7]. For inhomogeneous random graphs it is convenient to count trees with a help of branching processes, see [6]. Here large trees correspond to surviving branching processes and the order of the largest connected component is described by means of the survival probabilities of a related branching process.

In the present paper we use the approach developed in [6]. Before formulating our main result Theorem 1 we will introduce some notation. Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_{Q,\beta}$ denote the multi-type Galton-Watson branching process, where particles are of types $t \in \mathbb{T} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and where the number of children of type t of a particle of type s has the Poisson distribution with mean $(s-1)tq_t\beta^{-1}$. Here we write $q_t = Q(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $\mathcal{X}(t)$ denote the process $\mathcal{X}$ starting at a particle of type t, and $|\mathcal{X}(t)|$ denote the total progeny of $\mathcal{X}(t)$. Let $\rho_{Q,\beta}(t) = \mathbf{P}(|\mathcal{X}(t)| = \infty)$ denote the survival probability of the process $\mathcal{X}(t)$. Write $\rho^{(k)}_{Q,\beta}(t) = \mathbf{P}(|\mathcal{X}(t)| \geq k)$,

$$\tilde{\rho}_{Q,\beta} = \sum_{t\in\mathbb{T}} \rho_{Q,\beta}(t+1)q_t, \qquad \tilde{\rho}^{(k)}(Q) = \sum_{t\in\mathbb{T}} \rho^{(k)}_{Q,\beta}(t+1)q_t.$$

Note that for every $t \in \mathbb{T}$ we have $\rho^{(k)}_{Q,\beta}(t) \downarrow \rho_{Q,\beta}(t)$ as $k \uparrow \infty$ (by the continuity property of probabilities). Hence, $\tilde{\rho}^{(k)}(Q) \downarrow \tilde{\rho}(Q)$ as $k \uparrow \infty$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\beta > 0$. Let $\{m_n\}$ be a sequence of integers satisfying (1). Let $Q, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$ be probability measures defined on $\{0, 1, 2 \dots\}$ such that $\sum_{t=0}^{m_n} Q_n(t) = 1$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Assume that (2) and (3) holds. Then we have as $n \to \infty$*

$$N_1\big(G(n, m_n, Q_n)\big) = n\big(1 - Q(0)\big)\rho + o_P(n). \tag{5}$$

Here $\rho = \tilde{\rho}_{Q^*,\beta^*}$, for $Q(0) < 1$, and $\rho = 0$, otherwise. Q^* denotes the probability measure on $\{1, 2, \dots\}$ defined by $Q^*(t) = \big(1 - Q(0)\big)^{-1} Q(t)$, $t \geq 1$, and $\beta^* = \beta\big(1 - Q(0)\big)^{-1}$.

Notation $o_P(n)$. We write $\eta_n = o_P(1)$ for a sequence of random variables $\{\eta_n\}$ that converges to 0 in probability. We write $\eta_n = o_P(n)$ in the case where $\eta_n n^{-1} = o_P(1)$.
Remark 1. The correspondence $\rho > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{E}D_n > c > 1$ established for binomial random intersection graphs in [2], [14] can not be extended to general inhomogeneous graphs $G(n, m_n, Q_n)$. To see this, consider the graph obtained from a binomial random intersection graph by replacing $S(v_i)$ by $\emptyset$ for a randomly chosen fraction of vertices. This way we can make the expected degree arbitrarily small, and still have the giant connected component spanned by a fraction of unchanged vertices.
Remark 2. The kernel $(s, t) \to (s-1)t\beta^{-1}$ of the Poisson branching process which determines the fraction ρ in the case $m_n \approx \beta n$ differs from the kernel $(s, t) \to st$ which appears in the case $n = o(m_n)$, see [4].

3 Proof

The section is organized as follows. Firstly we collect some notation and formulate auxiliary results. We then prove Theorem 1. The proofs of auxiliary results are given in the end of the section.

Let W' be a finite set of size $|W'| = k$. Let B, H be subsets of W' of sizes $|B| = b$ and $|H| = h$ such that $B \cap H = \emptyset$. Let A be a random subset of W' uniformly distributed in the class of subsets of W' of size a. Introduce the probabilities

$$\begin{aligned}
&p(a, b, k) = \mathbf{P}(A \cap B \neq \emptyset),\\
&p_1(a, b, k) = \mathbf{P}(|A \cap B| = 1), \qquad p_2(a, b, k) = \mathbf{P}(|A \cap B| \geq 2),\\
&p(a, b, h, k) = \mathbf{P}\big(|A \cap B| = 1,\ A \cap H = \emptyset\big),\\
&p_1(a, b, h, k) = \mathbf{P}\big(|A \cap B| = 1,\ A \cap H \neq \emptyset\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. *Let $k \geq 4$. Denote $\varkappa = ab/k$ and $\varkappa' = ab/(k-a)$. For $a + b \leq k$ we have*

$$\varkappa(1 - \varkappa') \leq \quad p_1(a, b, k) \quad \leq p(a, b, k) \leq \varkappa, \tag{6}$$

$$p_2(a, b, k) \quad \leq 2^{-1}\varkappa^2. \tag{7}$$

Denote $\varkappa'' = (a-1)h/(k-b)$. For $a + b + h \leq k$ we have

$$\varkappa(1 - \varkappa' - \varkappa'') \leq \quad p(a, b, h, k) \quad \leq \varkappa. \tag{8}$$

$$p_1(a, b, h, k) \quad \leq \varkappa_h \varkappa. \tag{9}$$

Given integers n, m and a vector $\overline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ with coordinates from the set $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, let $S(v_1), \dots, S(v_n)$ be independent random subsets of $W_m = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ such that, for every $1 \le i \le n$, the subset $S(v_i)$ is uniformly distributed in the class of all subsets of W_m of size s_i. Let $G_{\overline{s}}(n, m)$ denote the random intersection graph on the vertex set $V_n = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ defined by the random sets $S(v_1), \dots, S(v_n)$. That is, we have $v_i \sim v_j$ whenever $S(v_i) \cap S(v_j) \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 2. *Let $M > 0$ be an integer and let Q be a probability measure defined on $[M] = \{1, \dots, M\}$. Let $\{m_n\}$ be a sequence of integers, and $\{\overline{s}_n = (s_{n1}, \dots, s_{nn})\}$ be a sequence of vectors with integer coordinates $s_{ni} \in [M]$, $1 \le i \le n$. Let n_t denote the number of coordinates of $\overline{s}_n$ attaining the value t. Assume that, for some integer n' and a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ converging to zero, we have, for every $n > n'$,*

$$\max_{1 \le t \le M} |(n_t/n) - Q(t)| \le \varepsilon_n, \tag{10}$$

$$|m_n(\beta n)^{-1} - 1| \le \varepsilon_n. \tag{11}$$

Then there exists a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n^*\}_{n \ge 1}$ converging to zero such that, for $n > n'$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}\big(\big|N_1(G_{\overline{s}_n}(n, m_n)) - n\tilde{\rho}_{Q,\beta}\big| > \varepsilon_n^* n\big) < \varepsilon_n^*. \tag{12}$$

Several technical steps of the proof of Lemma 2 are collected in the separate Lemma 3.

Lemma 3. *Assume that conditions of lemma 2 are satisfied. For any function $\omega(\cdot)$ satisfying $\omega(n) \to +\infty$ as $n \to \infty$ bounds (24), (25), and (27) hold true.*

Proof of Theorem 1. Write, for short, $G_n = G(n, m_n, Q_n)$ and $N_1 = N_1(G(n, m_n, Q_n))$. Given $t = 0, 1, \dots$, let n_t denote the number of vertices of G with the attribute sets of size t. Write $q_{nt} = Q_n(t)$ and $q_t = Q(t)$, and $q_t^* = Q(t)$.

Note that vertices with empty attribute sets are isolated in G. Hence, the connected components of order at least 2 of G belong to the subgraph $G_{[\infty]} \subset G$ induced by the vertices with non-empty attribute sets.

In the case where $q_0 = 1$, we obtain from (2) that the expected number of vertices in $G_{[\infty]}$ $\mathbf{E}(n - n_0) = n(1 - q_{n0}) = o(n)$. This identity implies $N_1 = o_P(n)$. We obtain (5), for $q_0 = 1$.

Let us prove (5) for $q_0 < 1$. Let $G_{[M],n}$ denote the subgraph of G_n induced by the vertices with attribute sets of sizes from the set $[M]$. In the proof we approximate $N_1(G_n)$ by $N_1(G_{[M],n})$ and use the result for $N_1(G_{[M],n})$ shown in Lemma 2.

We need some notation related to $G_{[M],n}$. The inequality $q_0 < 1$ implies that, for large M, the sum $q_{[M]} := q_1 + \dots + q_M \approx 1 - q_0$ is positive. Given such M, let Q_M^* be the probability measure on $[M]$, which assigns the mass $q_{Mt}^* = q_t/q_{[M]}$ to $t \in [M]$. Denote $\tilde{\rho}_{[M]} = \tilde{\rho}_{Q_M^*, \beta_M}$, where $\beta_M = \beta/q_{[M]}$. Clearly, β_M converges to β^* as $M \to \infty$, and we have

$$\forall t \ge 1 \quad \lim_M q_{Mt}^* = q_t^* \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_M \sum_{t \ge 1} t q_{Mt}^* = \sum_{t \ge 1} t q_t^* < \infty. \tag{13}$$

It follows from (13) that

$$\lim_M \tilde{\rho}_{[M]} = \tilde{\rho}_{Q^*, \beta^*}. \tag{14}$$

For the proof of (14) we refer to Chapter 6 of [6].

We are now ready to prove (5). For this purpose we combine the upper and lower bounds

$$N_1 \ge n(1 - q_0)\tilde{\rho}_{Q^*, \beta^*} - o_P(n) \quad \text{and} \quad N_1 \le n(1 - q_0)\tilde{\rho}_{Q^*, \beta^*} + o_P(n).$$

We give the proof of the lower bound only. The proof of the upper bound is almost the same as that of a corresponding bound in [4], see formula (56) in [4].
In the proof we show that, for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$,

$$\mathbf{P}(N_1 > n(1-q_0)\tilde{\rho}_{Q^*,\beta^*} - 2\varepsilon n) = 1 - o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{15}$$

Fix $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. In view of (14) we can choose M such that

$$\tilde{\rho}_{Q^*,\beta^*} - \varepsilon < \tilde{\rho}_{[M]} < \tilde{\rho}_{Q^*,\beta^*} + \varepsilon. \tag{16}$$

We apply Lemma 2 to $G_{[M],n}$ conditionally given the event

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{\max_{1\le t\le M} |n_t - q_t n| < n\delta_n + n^{2/3}\}.$$

Here $\delta_n = \max_{1\le t\le M} |q_{nt} - q_t|$ satisfies $\delta_n = o(1)$, see (2). In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{A}_n) &\le \mathbf{P}(\max_{1\le t\le M} |n_t - q_{nt} n| \ge n^{2/3}) \\ &\le \sum_{1\le t\le M} \mathbf{P}(|n_t - q_{nt} n| \ge n^{2/3}) \\ &\le M\, n^{-1/3} = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we have invoked the bounds $\mathbf{P}(|n_t - q_{nt} n| \ge n^{2/3}) \le n^{-1/3}$, which follow by Chebyshev's inequality applied to binomial random variables n_t, $t \in [M]$. Now, combining the bound, which follows from Lemma 2,

$$\mathbf{P}\big(|N_1(G_{[M],n}) - n\tilde{\rho}_M| > n\varepsilon \big| \mathcal{A}_n\big) = o(1) \tag{17}$$

with (16) and the bound $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{A}_n) = 1 - o(1)$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}\big(|N_1(G_{[M],n}) - n\tilde{\rho}_{Q^*,\beta^*}| > 2n\varepsilon\big) = o(1).$$

Finally, (15) follows from the obvious inequality $N_1 \ge N_1(G_{[M],n})$.

□

Proof of Lemma 2. The proof consists of two steps. Firstly, we show that components of order at least $n^{2/3}$ contain $n\tilde{\rho}_{Q,\beta} + o_P(n)$ vertices in total. This implies the upper bound for $N_1 = N_1(G_{\overline{s}_n}(n, m_n))$

$$N_1 \le n\tilde{\rho}_{Q,\beta} + o_P(n). \tag{18}$$

Secondly, we prove that with probability tending to one such vertices belong to a common connected component. This implies the lower bound

$$N_1 \ge n\tilde{\rho}_{Q,\beta} - o_P(n). \tag{19}$$

Clearly, (18), (19) yield (12). Before the proof of (18), (19), we introduce some notation.
Notation. Denote $\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\rho}_{Q,\beta}$ and write $q_t = Q(t)$, $t \in [M]$. In what follows, we drop the subscript n and write $m = m_n$, $V = V_n$, $W = W_m$, $G = G_{\overline{s}_n}(n, m)$. We say that a vertex $v \in V$ is of type t if the size $s_v = |S(v)|$ of its attribute set $S(v)$ is t. An edge $u' \sim u''$ of G is called regular if $|S(u') \cap S(u'')| = 1$. In this case u' and u'' are called regular neighbours. The edge $u' \sim u''$ is called irregular otherwise. We say that v_i is smaller than v_j whenever $i < j$. Given $v \in V$, let C_v denote the connected component of G containing vertex v.

In order to count vertices of C_v we explore this component using the Breath-First Search procedure.

Component exploration. Select $v \in V$. In the beginning all vertices are uncoloured. Colour v white and add it to the list L_v (now L_v consists of a single white vertex v). Next we proceed recursively. We choose the oldest white vertex in the list, say u, scan the current set of uncoloured vertices (in increasing order) and look for neighbours of u. Each new discovered neighbour immediately receives white colour and is added to the list. In particular, neighbours with smaller indices are added to the list before ones with larger indices. Once all the uncoloured vertices are scanned colour u black. Neighbours of u discovered in this step are called children of u. We say that $u' \in L_v$ is older than $u'' \in L_v$ if u' has been added to the list before u''. Exploration ends when there are no more white vertices in the list available.

By $L_v^* = \{v = u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots\}$ we denote the final state of the list after the exploration is complete. Here $i < j$ means that u_i has been discovered before u_j. Clearly, L_v^* is the vertex set of C_v. Denote $L_v(k) = \{u_i \in L_v^* : i \le k\}$. Note that $|L_v(k)| = \min\{k, |L_v^*|\}$. By u_{j^*} we denote the vertex which has discovered u_j (u_j is a child of u_{j^*}). Introduce the sets,

$$D_k = \cup_{1\le j\le k} S(u_j), \qquad S'(u_i) = S(u_i) \setminus D_{i-1}, \qquad k \ge 1, \quad i \ge 2, \tag{20}$$

and put $D_0 = \emptyset$, $S'(u_1) = S(u_1)$.

Regular exploration is performed similarly to the 'ordinary' exploration, but now only regular neighbours are added to the list. We call them regular children. A regular child u' of u is called simple if $S(u') \setminus S(u)$ does not intersect with $S(e)$ for any vertex e that has already been included in the list before u'. Otherwise the regular child is called *complex*. *Simple* exploration is performed similarly to the *regular* exploration, but now simple children are added to the list only.

In the case of regular (respectively simple) exploration we use the notation L_v^r, L_v^{r*}, $L_v^r(k)$, D_k^r, $S'^r(u_i)$ (respectively L_v^s, L_v^{s*}, $L_v^s(k)$, D_k^s, $S'^s(u_i)$) which is defined in much the same way as above. Similarly, i^* denotes the number in the list (L_v^r or L_v^s depending on the context) of the vertex that has discovered u_i (u_i is a child of u_{i^*}). For a member u_j of the list $L_v^{s*} = \{v = u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ we denote $H(u_j) = (\cup_{j^*<r<j} S(u_r)) \setminus D_{j^*}^s$. Consider the simple exploration at the moment where the current oldest white vertex, say u_i of evolving list $L_v^s = \{v = u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ starts the search of its simple children. Let $U_i = \{v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_r}, \dots v_{j_k}\}$ denote the current set of uncoloured vertices (the set of potential simple children). Here $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k$. Firstly, allow u_i to discover its simple children among $\{v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_r-1}\}$. Define the set $H_i(v_{j_r}) = \big(\cup_{u\in L} S(u)\big) \setminus D_i^s$, where L denotes the set of current white elements of the list that are younger than u_i. In particular, L includes the simple children of u_i discovered among $v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_r-1}$. Observe that any $u' \in U_i$ becomes a simple child of u_i whenever it is a regular neighbour of u_i and $H_i(u') \cap S(u') = \emptyset$.

$$|S(u') \cap S(u_i)| = 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad S(u') \cap H_i(u') = \emptyset. \tag{21}$$

Observe that for any member of the list $u_j \in L_v^{s*}$ we have $H(u_j) = H_{j^*}(u_j)$.

Note that irregular neighbours discovered during *regular* exploration receive white colour, but are not added to the list L_v^r. Similarly, irregular neighbours and complex children discovered during *simple* exploration receive white colour, but are not added to the list L_v^s. Note also that L_v^{s*} does not need to be a subset of L_v^{r*}.

Let $\omega(n)$ be an integer function such that $\omega(n) \to +\infty$ and $\omega(n) = o(n)$ as $n \to \infty$. A vertex $v \in V$ is called big (respectively, br-vertex and bs-vertex) if $|L_v^*| \ge \omega(n)$ (respectively, $|L_v^{r*}| \ge \omega(n)$ and $|L_v^{s*}| \ge \omega(n)$). Let B, B^r, and B^s denote the collections of big vertices, br-vertices, and bs-vertices respectively. Clearly, we have B^s, $B^r \subset B$. Note that in order to decide

whether a vertex v is big we do not need to explore the component C_v completely. Indeed, we may stop the exploration after the number of coloured vertices reaches $\omega(n)$. In what follows we assume that the exploration was stopped after the number of coloured vertices had reached $\omega(n)$ (in this case $v \in B$) or ended even earlier because the last white vertex of the list failed to find an uncoloured neighbour (in this case $v \notin B$).
The upper bound. Fix $\omega(\cdot)$. We show that

$$|B| - n\tilde{\rho} = o_P(n). \tag{22}$$

Note that (22) combined with the simple inequality $N_1 \leq \max\{\omega(n), |B|\}$ implies (18). We obtain (22) from the bounds

$$|B| - |B^s| = o_P(n), \tag{23}$$
$$|B^s| - n\tilde{\rho} = o_P(n). \tag{24}$$

(24) is shown in Lemma 3. (23) follows from the bound $\mathbf{E}(|B| - |B^s|) = o(n)$. In order to prove this bound we show that

$$\mathbf{E}|B^s| - n\tilde{\rho} = o(n), \tag{25}$$
$$\mathbf{E}|B| \ \leq \ n\tilde{\rho} + o(n). \tag{26}$$

(25) is shown in **Lemma** 3. (26) follows from the bounds

$$\mathbf{E}|B^r| \leq n\tilde{\rho} + o(n), \tag{27}$$
$$\mathbf{E}|B \setminus B^r| = o(n). \tag{28}$$

(27) is shown in **Lemma** 3. In order to show (28) we write $\mathbf{E}|B \setminus B^r| = \sum_{v \in V} \mathbf{P}(v \in B \setminus B^r)$ and invoke the bounds, which hold uniformly in $v \in V$,

$$\mathbf{P}(v \in B \setminus B^r) = O(\omega(n) n^{-2}). \tag{29}$$

In the proof of (29) we inspect the list $L_v(\omega(n))$ and look for an irregular child. The probability that given $u_i \in L_v(\omega(n))$ is an irregular child is $O(n^{-2})$, see (7). Now (29) follows from the fact that $L_v(\omega(n))$ has at most $\omega(n) = o(n)$ elements. The proof of (23) is complete.

The lower bound. We start with a simple observation that whp each attribute $w \in W$ is shared by at most $O(\ln n)$ vertices. Denote $f(w) = \sum_{v \in V} \mathbb{I}_{\{w \in S(v)\}}$, $w \in W$. We show that the inequality

$$\max_{w \in W} f(w) \leq 2M \ln n \tag{30}$$

holds with probability $1 - o(1)$. Since $f(w)$ is a sum of independent Bernoulli random variables with success probabilities at most M/m, Chernoff's inequality implies $\mathbf{P}(f(w) > 2M \ln n) \leq c_{M,\beta} n^{-2}$. Hence, the complementary event to (30) has probability

$$\mathbf{P}(\max_{w \in W} f(w) > 2M \ln n) \leq \sum_{w \in W} \mathbf{P}(f(w) > 2M \ln n) = o(1).$$

Let us prove (19). Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. For each $t \in [M]$ choose $\lceil n_t \varepsilon \rceil$ vertices of type t and colour them red. Let G' denote the subgraph of G induced by uncoloured vertices, and let $C_1, C_2, \ldots$ denote the (vertex sets of) connected components of G' of order at least $n^{2/3}$. Observe, that the number, say k, of such components is at most $(1 - \varepsilon) n^{1/3}$. We apply (22) to the intersection

graph G' and function $\omega(n) = \lceil n^{2/3} \rceil$ and obtain $|\cup_{i\geq 1} C_i| = (1-\varepsilon)n\tilde{\rho}_{Q,\beta'} + o_P(n)$, where $\beta' = \beta(1-\varepsilon)^{-1}$. We show below that with a high probability all vertices of $\cup_{i\geq 1} C_i$ belong to a single connected component of the graph G. Hence, $N_1 \geq (1-\varepsilon)\tilde{\rho}_{Q,\beta'} + o_P(n)$. Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ we then immediately obtain lower bound (19).

We assume that G is obtained in two steps. Firstly, the uncoloured vertices generate G', and, secondly, the red vertices add the remaining part of G. Let us consider the second step where the red vertices add their contribution. Write $\mathbb{I}_{ij} = 1$ if C_i and C_j are not connected by a path in G, and $\mathbb{I}_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Let $N = \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k} \mathbb{I}_{ij}$ denote the number of disconnected pairs. Clearly, the event $N = 0$ implies that all vertices from $\cup_{i\geq 1} C_i$ belong to the same connected component of G. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\mathbf{P}(N = 0) = 1 - o(1)$. For this purpose we prove the bound $\mathbf{P}(N \geq 1|G') = o(1)$ uniformly in G' satisfying (30), see (32) below.

In what follows we assume that (30) holds. Let $\hat{f}(C_i) = \cup_{v\in C_i} S(v)$ denote the set of attributes occupied by vertices from C_i. Here $\hat{f}(C_i) \cap \hat{f}(C_j) = \emptyset$, for $i \neq j$. Note that if a red vertex finds neighbours in C_i and C_j simultaneously then it builds a path in G that connects components C_i and C_j. Clearly, only vertices with attribute sets of size at least 2 (i.e., vertices of types $2, 3, \dots$) can build such a path. The probability of building such a path is minimized by vertices of type 2. This minimal probability is

$$p_{ij} = 2\frac{|\hat{f}(C_i)| \times |\hat{f}(C_j)|}{m(m-1)}.$$

Note that (30) combined with the inequality $|C_i| \geq \lceil n^{2/3} \rceil$ implies $|\hat{f}(C_i)| \geq n^{2/3}(2M \ln n)^{-1}$. Hence,

$$p_{ij} \geq \frac{1}{2M^2}\frac{n^{4/3}}{(m \ln n)^2} =: p_*.$$

Let $r := \lfloor n_2\varepsilon \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_M\varepsilon \rfloor$ denote the number of red vertices of types $2, 3, \dots$. Observe that, for large n, (10) implies $r \approx \varepsilon q' n$. Here $q' = q_2 + \dots + q_M$. In particular, we have

$$\mathbf{P}(I_{ij} = 1|G') \leq (1-p_{ij})^r \leq (1-p_*)^r \leq e^{-p_* r}. \tag{31}$$

Here $p_* r \geq c' n^{7/3}(\ln n)^{-2}$, and the constant c' depends on β, M, and q'. Next, we apply Markov's inequality to the conditional probability

$$\mathbf{P}(N \geq 1|G') \leq \mathbf{E}(N|G') = \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k} \mathbf{P}(I_{ij} = 1|G').$$

Invoking (31) and the inequality $k \leq (1-\varepsilon)n^{1/3}$ we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}(N \geq 1|G') \leq k^2 e^{-p_* r} \leq n^{2/3} e^{-c' n^{1/3} \ln^{-2} n}. \tag{32}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 3. Throughout the proof we use the notation of Lemma 2.

Fix $\omega(\cdot)$. Given $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let $\mathcal{Y}^{+\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{-\varepsilon}$ be multi-type Galton-Watson processes with type space $[M]$ where the number of children $Y_{st}^{+\varepsilon}$ ($Y_{st}^{-\varepsilon}$) of type t of a particle of type s has binomial distribution $Bi\big(\lfloor q_t n(1+\varepsilon)\rfloor, p_{st}(1+\varepsilon)\big)$ and $Bi\big(\lfloor q_t n(1-\varepsilon)\rfloor, p_{st}(1-\varepsilon)\big)$ respectively. Here $p_{st} := (s-1)t(\beta n)^{-1}$.

Let $\mathcal{X}^{+\varepsilon}$ (and $\mathcal{X}^{-\varepsilon}$) be multi-type Galton-Watson process with type space $[M]$ where the number of children $X_{st}^{+\varepsilon}$ (and $X_{st}^{-\varepsilon}$) of type t of a particle of type s has the Poisson distribution with mean $\lambda_{st}(1+\varepsilon)$ (and $\lambda_{st}(1-\varepsilon)$). Here $\lambda_{st} := (s-1)tq_t\beta^{-1}$.

Given a multi-type G-W process $\mathcal{Z}$ with type space $[M]$, by $\mathcal{Z}(t)$ we denote the process starting at a particle of type t, $|\mathcal{Z}(t)|$ denotes the total progeny of $\mathcal{Z}(t)$, $\rho(\mathcal{Z},t) := \mathbf{P}(|\mathcal{Z}(t)| = \infty)$ and $\rho^{(k)}(\mathcal{Z},t) := \mathbf{P}(|\mathcal{Z}(t)| \geq k)$.
It is known, see, e.g. inequality (1.23) in [1], that the total variation distance between the binomial distribution $Bi(r,p)$ and the Poisson distribution with the same mean is at most p. Therefore, by a coupling of the offspring numbers of binomial and Poisson branching processes we obtain

$$\rho^{(\omega(n))}(\mathcal{Y}^{+\varepsilon},t) = \rho^{(\omega(n))}(\mathcal{X}^{+\varepsilon'},t) + o(\omega(n)/n), \tag{33}$$
$$\rho^{(\omega(n))}(\mathcal{Y}^{-\varepsilon},t) = \rho^{(\omega(n))}(\mathcal{X}^{-\varepsilon''},t) + o(\omega(n)/n). \tag{34}$$

Here $\varepsilon' = (1+\varepsilon)^2 - 1$ and $\varepsilon'' = 1-(1-\varepsilon)^2$. Letting $n \to \infty$ we obtain,

$$\rho^{(\omega(n))}(\mathcal{X}^{+\varepsilon'},t) \to \rho(\mathcal{X}^{+\varepsilon'},t), \qquad \rho^{(\omega(n))}(\mathcal{X}^{-\varepsilon''},t) \to \rho(\mathcal{X}^{-\varepsilon''},t). \tag{35}$$

Furthermore, letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\rho(\mathcal{X}^{+\varepsilon'},t) \to \rho_{Q,\beta}(t), \qquad \rho(\mathcal{X}^{-\varepsilon''},t) \to \rho_{Q,\beta}(t). \tag{36}$$

Proof of (27). We shall show that

$$\mathbf{P}(v \in B^r) \leq \rho_{Q,\beta}(s_v+1) + o(1). \tag{37}$$

uniformly in $v \in V$. Collecting these bounds in the identity $\mathbf{E}|B^r| = \sum_{v\in V} \mathbf{P}(v \in B^r)$ and using (10) we then obtain (27). Therefore, it suffices to prove (37). In the proof we couple *regular* exploration starting at v with the process $\mathcal{Y}^{+\varepsilon}(s_v+1)$. Let Y_{it}^r denote the number of regular children of type t discovered by $u_i \in L_v^r = \{v = u_1, u_2, \dots\}$. Let n_{it} denote the number of uncoloured vertices of type t at the moment, when u_i starts exploration of its neighbourhood. Then Y_{it}^r has the binomial distribution $Bi(n_{it}, p'_{it})$ with success probability $p'_{it} = p_1(t, |S'^r(u_i)|, |W \setminus D_{i-1}|)$. Note that for large n we have

$$n_{it} \leq \lfloor q_t n(1+\varepsilon) \rfloor, \qquad p'_{it} \leq |S'^r(u_i)|\, t(\beta n)^{-1}(1+\varepsilon). \tag{38}$$

The first inequality follows from (10). The second inequality follows from (6) combined with the inequalities

$$m \geq |W \setminus D_{i-1}^r| = m - |D_{i-1}^r| > m - M\omega(n) = m - o(m). \tag{39}$$

In addition, in view of (11), we can replace m by βn in (38). (38) shows that the parameters of the binomial distribution of Y_{it}^r are smaller than the corresponding parameters of the offspring distribution of the branching process $\mathcal{Y}^{+\varepsilon}(s_v+1)$. Therefore, particles of the branching process produce at least as many children of each type as the vertices u_i, $i < \omega(n)$. Note that $v = u_1$ corresponds to a particle of type $|S'^r(v)| = s_v + 1$ of the branching process while remaining vertices u_i, $i \geq 2$ correspond to particles of types $s_{u_i} = |S(u_i)|$ respectively. Hence, we have

$$\mathbf{P}(v \in B^r) \leq \mathbf{P}\big(|\mathcal{Y}^{+\varepsilon}(s_v+1)| \geq \omega(n)\big). \tag{40}$$

(40) in combination with (33), (35) and (36) implies (37).
Proof of (25). Given $v \in V$, we start simple exploration at v. Let K_t (I_t) denote the number of complex (irregular) children of type t discovered by the exploration until the list $L_v^s(\omega(n))$ was completed. We put a label on v whenever $\max_t\{K_t, I_t\} \geq \omega(n)$.

Let A denote the set of labeled vertices and $p'_v := \mathbf{P}(v \in B^s |\, v \notin A)$ be the probability that the simple exploration of unlabeled vertex v discovers at least $\omega(n)$ vertices. We show below that

$$\mathbf{P}(v \in A) = O(n^{-1}), \tag{41}$$

$$p'_v = \rho_{Q,\beta}(s_v + 1) - o(1). \tag{42}$$

It follows from (41), (42) that

$$\mathbf{P}(v \in B^s) = p'_v + O(n^{-1}) = \rho_{Q,\beta}(s_v + 1) + o(1). \tag{43}$$

Invoking the latter identity in the expression $\mathbf{E}|B^s| = \sum_{v\in V} \mathbf{P}(v \in B^s)$ we obtain (25).

Proof of (42). Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we show that for large n

$$\mathbf{P}\big(|\mathcal{Y}^{+\varepsilon}(s_v + 1)| \ge \omega(n)\big) \ge p'_v \ge \mathbf{P}\big(|\mathcal{Y}^{-\varepsilon}(s_v + 1)| \ge \omega(n)\big). \tag{44}$$

These inequalities in combination with (33-36) imply (42).
In order to generate events of probability p'_v we use rejection sampling. In the course of exploration we keep track of the number of coloured vertices and interrupt the exploration at the moment when this number exceeds $3\omega(n)$. Exploration is rejected if it is interrupted before the list $L^s_v(\omega(n))$ is completed. Otherwise it is accepted. Clearly, p'_v is the probability that the list $L^s_v(\omega(n))$ of an accepted exploration has collected all $\omega(n)$ elements.
In the proof of (44) we couple the simple exploration process with branching processes $\mathcal{Y}^{-\varepsilon}(s_v+1)$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{+\varepsilon}(s_v+1)$ so that the number of simple children of type t of the vertex v is at least (most) as large as the number of particles of type t in the first generation of $\mathcal{Y}^{-\varepsilon}(s_v+1)$ ($\mathcal{Y}^{+\varepsilon}(s_v+1)$), $t \in [M]$. In the further steps of exploration the number $Y_t(u)$ of simple children of type t discovered by a particle $u \in L^s_v(\omega(n)) \setminus \{v\}$ is at least (most) as large as the number of children of type t produced by the coresponding particle of type s_u of the process $\mathcal{Y}^{-\varepsilon}$ ($\mathcal{Y}^{+\varepsilon}$).
To make sure that such a coupling is possible we fix $u = u_i \in L^s_v(\omega(n))$ and count its simple children. Recall that u_i selects simple children from the current set of uncoloured vertices. These are checked one after another in increasing order, and each newly discovered simple child is added to the list L^s_v before the next uncoloured vertex is checked. At the moment when a vertex g is checked, its probability to be a simple child of u is $p_i(g) = p(|S(g)|, |S'^s(u)|, |H_i(g)|, |W \setminus D_{i-1}|)$. It is a conditional probability given $\{S(u'),\, u' \in L^s_v\}$. Here L^s_v is the set of vertices that have been added to the list before g was checked. Note that, as far as the probability of the event $\{v \in B^s\} \equiv \{L^s_v(\omega(n)) = \omega(n)\}$ is considered, we may safely assume that $|D_{i-1}|, |H_i(g)| \le M(\omega(n) - 1)$. It follows from these inequalities and (8) that for large n we have

$$\frac{|S'^s(u)|s_g}{m}(1 - \varepsilon) \le p_i(g) \le \frac{|S'^s(u)|s_g}{m}(1 + \varepsilon). \tag{45}$$

In addition, in view of (11), we can replace m by βn in the denominator. Let n^*_{it} denote the number of uncoloured vertices of type t at the moment when $u = u_i$ starts search of its simple children. Until the exploration is not interrupted we have $n^*_{it} \ge n_t - 3\omega(n)$. For large n this inequality implies $n^*_{it} \ge (1 - \varepsilon/2)n_t$. Invoking (10) we obtain

$$q_t n(1 - \varepsilon) \le n^*_{it} \le q_t n(1 + \varepsilon) \qquad t \in [M]. \tag{46}$$

It follows from (45, 46) that we can couple $Y_t(u)$ with binomial random variables

$$Y^{\pm}_t(u) \sim Bi\Big(\lfloor q_t n(1 \pm \varepsilon)\rfloor, \frac{|S'^s(u)|s_g}{\beta n}(1 \pm \varepsilon)\Big),$$

so that almost surely we have $Y_t^-(u) \le Y_t(u) \le Y_t^+(u)$. These inequalities imply (44).
Proof of (41). We write $\mathbf{P}(v \in A) \le \sum_{t\in[M]}(\mathbf{P}(K_t \ge \omega(n)) + \mathbf{P}(I_t \ge \omega(n))$ and show that

$$\mathbf{P}(K_t \ge \omega(n)) = o(n^{-1}), \qquad \mathbf{P}(I_t \ge \omega(n)) = o(n^{-1}). \tag{47}$$

We prove the first bound only. The proof of the second bound is much the same. Given $i \le \omega(n)$, the number of complex children of type t discovered by $u_i \in L_v^s$ is the sum of at most n_t independent Bernoulli random variables each with success probability at most

$$p^* = p_1\big(M, M, M\omega(n), m - M\omega(n)\big) \le cM^4m^{-2},$$

see (9). Therefore, K_t is at most sum of $n_t\omega(n)$ independent Bernoulli random variables with success probability p^*. In particular, we have

$$\mathbf{P}(K_t \ge \omega(n)) \le \mathbf{P}(\xi \ge \omega(n)), \tag{48}$$

where $\xi \sim Bi(n_t\omega(n), p^*)$. By Chebychev's inequality

$$\mathbf{P}(\xi \ge \omega(n)) \le (\omega(n) - \mathbf{E}\xi)^{-2}\mathbf{Var}\xi = O(n^{-1}). \tag{49}$$

In the last step we invoke the simple bounds

$$\mathbf{Var}\xi \le \mathbf{E}\xi = n_t\omega(n)p_t^* = O(\omega^2(n)n^{-1}) = o(\omega(n)).$$

(48) and (49) imply the first bound of (47).
Proof of (24). It suffices to establish (24) for one particular function ω, because for any other $\tilde{B}^s$ defined by another such function $\tilde{\omega}$, we have

$$|B^s| - |\tilde{B}^s| = o_P(n). \tag{50}$$

To see this write $|B^s| - |\tilde{B}^s| \le |B^s \cup \tilde{B}^s| - |B^s \cap \tilde{B}^s|$ and observe that $B^s \cup \tilde{B}^s$ and $B^s \cap \tilde{B}^s$ represent sets of bs-vertices defined by the functions $\omega_1 = \min\{\omega, \tilde{\omega}\}$ and $\omega_2 = \max\{\omega, \tilde{\omega}\}$ respectively. An application of (25) to ω_1 and ω_2 yields the bound $\mathbf{E}(|B^s| - |\tilde{B}^s|) = o(n)$. This bound implies (50).
We show (24) for $\omega(n) = \lfloor \ln n \rfloor$. For this purpose we prove the bound for the variance

$$\mathbf{E}|B^s|^2 - (\mathbf{E}|B^s|)^2 = o(n^2), \tag{51}$$

which tells us that $|B^s| - \mathbf{E}|B^s| = o_P(n)$. In particular, (51) combined with (25) shows (24).
In the proof of (51) we use the observation that the first $\omega(n)$ steps of any two explorations starting at distinct vertices are almost independent. More precisely, we show below that uniformly in $\{u, v\} \subset V$

$$\mathbf{P}(u, v \in B^s) = \rho_{Q,\beta}(s_u + 1)\rho_{Q,\beta}(s_v + 1) + o(1). \tag{52}$$

It follows from (52) that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sum_{\{u,v\}\subset V} \mathbf{P}(u, v \in B^s) &= \sum_{u,v\in V} \rho_{Q,\beta}(s_u + 1)\rho_{Q,\beta}(s_v + 1) + o(n^2). \\ &= n^2\tilde{\rho}^2 + o(n^2). \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

In the last step we use (10). Observe, that the left-hand sum of (53) is the expected value of $2\sum_{\{u,v\}\subset V} \mathbb{I}_{\{u,v\in B^s\}} = |B^s|^2 - |B^s|$. Therefore, from (53) we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}|B^s|^2 = n^2\tilde{\rho}^2 + \mathbf{E}|B^s| + o(n^2).$$

This identity combined with (25) implies (51).

Let us prove (52). We first explore u and then v. In each case we stop simple exploration after the number of vertices in the corresponding list reaches $\omega(n)$. Note that with a high probability these two explorations do not meet. Indeed, let T_u (T_v) denote the set of vertices coloured by the first (second) exploration and let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the event that the second exploration does not encounter any vertex from T_u, i.e., $\mathcal{H} = \{D_u \cap S(v') = \emptyset$, for each $v' \in T_v\}$. Here we denote $D_u = \cup_{u' \in T_u} S(u')$ and $D_v = \cup_{v' \in T_v} S(v')$. Now assume that u, v are unlabeled vertices, i.e., $u, v \notin A$. Then

$$|T_u|, |T_v| \le (2M+1)\omega(n) =: \hat{T},$$

and $|D_u|, |D_v| < M\hat{T} \le M(2M+1)\omega(n) =: \hat{D}$. In this case, for each $v' \in T_v$, the probability that $S(v')$ does not hit D_u is at least $\big(\frac{m-2\hat{D}}{m}\big)^M$. Here we use the fact that $S(v')$ has at most $|S(v')| \le M$ elements (trials) to hit the set D_u which occupies $|D_u| \le \hat{D}$ attributes among those (at least $m - \hat{D}$) which have not been used by the current collection of vertices of evolving list L_v^s. Since there are at most $\hat{T}$ vertices in T_v, we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{H} | u, v \notin A) \ge \big(\frac{m-2\hat{D}}{m}\big)^{M\hat{T}} = 1 - O\big(\omega^2(n) n^{-1}\big).$$

For arbitrary u, v we obtain from (41)

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{H}) \ge \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{H} \cap \{u, v \notin A\}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{H} | u, v \notin A)\mathbf{P}(u, v \notin A) = 1 - o(1). \tag{54}$$

Now assume that $\rho_{Q,\beta}(s_u + 1) > 0$ (otherwise (52) trivially follows from (43)) and write

$$\mathbf{P}(u, v \in B^s) = \mathbf{P}(v \in B^s | u \in B^s)\mathbf{P}(u \in B^s). \tag{55}$$

We can replace $\mathbf{P}(v \in B^s | u \in B^s)$ by $p_{v,u} := \mathbf{P}\big(v \in B^s \big| \{u \in B^s\} \cap \{u, v \in A\} \cap \mathcal{H}\big)$ and $\mathbf{P}(u \in B^s)$ by $\rho_{Q,\beta}(s_u + 1)$. It follows from (41), (54) and (43) that the error due to such replacement is of order $o(1)$. From (55) we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}(u, v \in B^s) = p_{v,u}\rho_{Q,\beta}(s_u + 1) + o(1). \tag{56}$$

Finally, (52) follows from (56) and the identity $p_{v,u} = \rho_{Q,\beta}(s_v + 1) + o(1)$, which is shown in much the same way as (42) above. □

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be a random permutation of elements of the set W'. For $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_a\}$ we have, by symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned}
p(a,b,k) &\le \sum_{1 \le i \le a} \mathbf{P}(x_i \in B) = a\mathbf{P}(x_1 \in B), && (57)\\
p_1(a,b,k) &= \sum_{1 \le i \le a} \mathbf{P}(A \cap B = x_i) = a\mathbf{P}(A \cap B = x_1), && (58)\\
p_2(a,b,k) &\le \sum_{1 \le i < j \le a} \mathbf{P}(x_i, x_j \in B) = 2^{-1}a(a-1)\mathbf{P}(x_1, x_2 \in B), && (59)\\
p(a,b,h,k) &= \sum_{1 \le i \le a} \mathbf{P}(A \cap B = x_i)\mathbf{P}(H \cap A = \emptyset | A \cap B = x_i) && (60)\\
&= p_1(a,b,k)\big(1 - p(a-1,h,k-b)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side inequality of (6) follows from (57) and the identity $\mathbf{P}(x_1 \in B) = b/k$. The left-hand side inequality follows from (58) combined with the identity $\mathbf{P}(A \cap B = x_1) = \frac{b(k-b)_{a-1}}{(k)_a}$ and inequalities

$$1 \geq \frac{(k-b)_{a-1}}{(k-1)_{a-1}} \geq \big(\frac{k-a-b}{k-a}\big)^{a-1} \geq 1 - \frac{ab}{k-a}.$$

(7) follows from (59) and the identity $\mathbf{P}(x_1, x_2 \in B) = \frac{(b)_2}{(k)_2}$. (8) follows from (60) combined with (6). (9) follows from the inequality $p_1(a,b,h,k) = p_1(a,b,k)p(a-1,h,k-b)$, which is shown in the same way as (60). □

References

[1] A.D. Barbour, L. Holst, and S. Janson, *Poisson approximation*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1992.

[2] M. Behrisch, Component evolution in random intersection graphs, The *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 14(1) (2007).

[3] M. Bloznelis, Degree distribution of a typical vertex in a general random intersection graph, *Lithuanian Mathematical Journal* 48 (2008), 38–45.

[4] M. Bloznelis, Component evolution in general random inbtrsection graphs. Sumbitted to *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* (2008). Preprint 09-058 at *http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/sfb701*.

[5] M. Bloznelis, A random intersection digraph. Indegree and outdegree distributions. Sumbitted to *Discrete Mathematics* (2009).

[6] B. Bollobás, S. Janson, and O. Riordan, The phase transition in inhomogeneous random graphs, *Random Structures Algorithms* 31 (2007), 3–122.

[7] F. Chung and L. Lu, Complex graphs and networks, CBMS regional conference series in mathematics, no 107, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2006.

[8] M. Deijfen and W. Kets, Random intersection graphs with tunable degree distribution and clustering, *Probab. Eng. Inform. Sci.* 23 (2009), 661-674.

[9] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, On the evolution of random graphs, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci.,* 5 (1960), 17–61.

[10] J. A. Fill, E. R. Scheinerman, K. B. Singer–Cohen, Random Intersection Graphs when $m = \omega(n)$: An Equivalence Theorem Relating the Evolution of the G(n, m, p) and G(n, p) Models, Random structures and Algorithms, 16 (2000), 156–176

[11] E. Godehardt and J. Jaworski, Two models of random intersection graphs for classification, in: Studies in Classification, Data Analysis and Knowledge Organization, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 2003, 67–81.

[12] J. Jaworski, M. Karoński, and D. Stark, The degree of a typical vertex in generalized random intersection graph models, *Discrete Mathematics* 306 (2006), 2152–2165.

[13] M. Karoński, E. R. Scheinerman, and K.B. Singer-Cohen, On random intersection graphs: The subgraph problem, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* 8 (1999), 131–159.

[14] A. N. Lageråas and M. Lindholm, A note on the component structure in random intersection graphs with tunable clustering, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 15(1) (2008).

[15] K. B. Singer-Cohen, Random intersection graphs, PhD thesis, Department of Mathematical Sciences, The John Hopkins University, 1995.

[16] D. Stark, The vertex degree distribution of random intersection graphs, *Random Structures Algorithms* 24 (2004), 249–258.